

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,404	08/07/2001	Colin Oloman	6510-PA04D	6879
27111 75	90 07/24/2006		EXAM	INER
GORDON & REES LLP			ZHENG, LOIS L	
101 WEST BROADWAY SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	09/924,404	OLOMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of the control of	Lois Zheng	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	<u>flay 2006</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claim 37 is amended in view of the amendment filed 8 May 2006. However, the scope of claim 37 remains the same. Therefore, the rejection grounds are maintained as follows.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the opening on the rigid outer wall as disclosed in instant claim 37 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 1742

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oloman et al. US 5,968,325(Oloman).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Oloman teaches an electrolytic cell assembly comprising a rigid outer wall(Figs. 4-6, numeral 54) housing an electrolytic cell((Figs. 4-6). The electrolytic cell as taught by Oloman further comprises an anode(Figs. 4-6, numeral 36), a cathode(Figs. 4-6, numeral 46) spaced apart from the anode and an electrolyte(Figs. 4-6, numeral 42) in electrical contact with the anode and the cathode.

Oloman further teaches that the electrolytic cell comprises a non-conductive plastic thin tube wall(Figs. 4-6, numeral 44, col. 3 lines 34-37, col. 5 lines 66-67) containing the electrolyte and the electrolytic cell is biased against the rigid outer wall by a spring(Figs. 4-6, numeral 56). Since Oloman teaches that the spring member compresses the electrolysis cell and the electrolyte containing thin tube wall of the electrolysis cell is plastic, one skilled artisan would have found that the electrolyte containing plastic thin tube wall is inherently flexible as claimed in order to withstand the compression from the spring.

Oloman further teaches that the anode and cathode of the electrolysis cell are porous(col. 2 line 61-col. 3 line 14). When more than one electrolysis cells are implemented, graphite felts(Figs. 4-6, numeral 48)(i.e. porous) are used in between the electrolysis cells. Therefore, the electrolysis cell of Oloman is porous to permit passage of the gas as claimed.

Even though Oloman does not explicitly teach the claimed rigid outer wall having an opening to permit passage of gas, one skilled artisan would have found that claimed opening is inherently present on the rigid outer wall of Oloman's electrolysis cell assembly since the electrolysis cell of Oloman is used to generate hydrogen(abstract) and the outer wall of Oloman's electrolysis cell assembly must have inherently have a opening in order for the produced hydrogen gas to be collected.

Therefore, Oloman anticipates the instant invention.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Art Unit: 1742

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 37 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 15-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,968,325. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,968,325(Oloman) teaches an electrolysis apparatus comprising a porous cathode(i.e. porous electrolysis cell), an anode, and an electrolyte container holding electrolyte and a biasing member(i.e. spring) coupled to the outer housing(i.e. outer wall).

Even though claim 1 of Oloman does not explicitly teach that the electrolyte container is flexible, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found the claimed flexible wall of the electrolyte container obvious since the electrolyte container is inherently capable of withstand the compression from the biasing member (i.e. spring).

In addition, even though Oloman does not explicitly teach the claimed rigid outer wall having an opening to permit passage of gas, one skilled artisan would have found that claimed opening is inherently present on the rigid outer housing of Oloman's electrolysis apparatus since the electrolysis cell of Oloman is used to generate

hydrogen(claim 1) and the outer housing of Oloman's electrolysis apparatus must have inherently have a opening in order for the produced hydrogen gas to be collected.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lois Zheng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/924,404

Art Unit: 1742

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROY KING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

LLZ